$See \ discussions, stats, and author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337683738$

Genetic Association Study of Childhood Aggression across raters, instruments and age

Preprint · November 2019

DOI: 10.1101/854927

citations 4		reads 148	
149 auth	oors, including:		
	Hill Fung Ip Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam 33 PUBLICATIONS 558 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	-	Isabell Brikell Karolinska Institutet 34 PUBLICATIONS 604 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
0	Cristina Sánchez-Mora University Hospital Vall d'Hebron 111 PUBLICATIONS 1,416 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	0	Ilja M Nolte University of Groningen 605 PUBLICATIONS 26,669 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Twin studies View project



GWASinspector View project

1 Genetic Association Study of Childhood Aggression across raters,

instruments and age

2

3

4 Authors & Addresses:

Ip, Hill F.¹, van der Laan, Camiel M.^{1,114}, Brikell, Isabell³, Sánchez-Mora, Cristina^{4,5,6}, Nolte, Ilja M.⁷, St 5 Pourcain, Beate^{8,9,10}, Bolhuis, Koen¹¹, Palviainen, Teemu¹², Zafarmand, Hadi^{13,14}, Colodro-Conde, 6 Lucía¹⁵, Gordon, Scott¹⁵, Zayats, Tetyana^{16,17,18}, Aliev, Fazil¹⁹, Jiang, Chang^{21,22}, Wang, Carol A.²³, 7 Saunders, Gretchen²⁴, Karhunen, Ville²⁵, Hammerschlag, Anke R.^{26,1,27}, Adkins, Daniel E.^{28,29}, Border, 8 Richard^{30,31,32}, Peterson, Roseann E.³³, Prinz, Joseph A.³⁴, Thiering, Elisabeth^{35,36}, Seppälä, Ilkka³⁷, 9 Vilor-Tejedor, Natàlia³⁸⁻⁴², Ahluwalia, Tarunveer S.^{43,44}, Day, Felix R.⁴⁵, Hottenga, Jouke-Jan¹, Allegrini, 10 Andrea², Krapohl, Eva M. L.², Rimfeld, Kaili², Chen, Qi³, Lu, Yi³, Martin, Joanna^{3,46}, Soler Artigas, 11 María^{4,5,6}, Rovira, Paula^{4,5,6}, Bosch, Rosa^{4,5,47}, Español, Gemma⁴, Ramos Quiroga, Josep Antoni^{4,5,47,6}, 12 Neumann, Alexander^{11,48}, Ensink, Judith^{49,50}, Grasby, Katrina¹⁵, Morosoli, José J.¹⁵, Tong, Xiaoran^{21,22}, 13 Marrington, Shelby⁵¹, Middeldorp, Christel^{26,1,52}, Scott, James G.^{51,53,54}, Vinkhuyzen, Anna⁵⁵, Shabalin, 14 Andrey A.²⁹, Corley, Robin^{30,56}, Evans, Luke M.^{30,56}, Sugden, Karen^{57,34}, Alemany, Silvia³⁸⁻⁴⁰, Sass, 15 Lærke⁴³, Vinding, Rebecca⁴³, Ruth, Kate⁵⁸, Tyrrell, Jess⁵⁸, Davies, Gareth E.⁵⁹, Ehli, Erik A.⁵⁹, 16 Hagenbeek, Fiona A.¹, De Zeeuw, Eveline¹, Van Beijsterveldt, Toos C.E.M.¹, Larsson, Henrik^{3,110}, 17 Snieder, Harold⁷, Verhulst, Frank C.^{11,60}, Amin, Najaf⁶¹, Whipp, Alyce M.¹², Korhonen, Tellervo¹², 18 Vuoksimaa, Eero¹², Rose, Richard J.⁶⁴, Uitterlinden, André G.^{61,65,66}, Heath, Andrew C.⁶⁷, Madden, 19 Pamela⁶⁷, Haavik, Jan^{16,20}, Harris, Jennifer R.⁶⁸, Helgeland, Øyvind⁶⁹, Johansson, Stefan^{16,70}, Knudsen, 20 Gun Peggy S.⁶⁸, Njolstad, Pal Rasmus⁷¹, Lu, Qing^{21,22}, Rodriguez, Alina^{25,72}, Henders, Anjali K.⁵⁵, 21 Mamun, Abdullah⁷³, Najman, Jackob M.⁵¹, Brown, Sandy⁷⁴, Hopfer, Christian⁷⁵, Krauter, Kenneth⁷⁶, 22 Reynolds, Chandra⁷⁷, Smolen, Andrew³⁰, Stallings, Michael^{30,31}, Wadsworth, Sally³⁰, Wall, Tamara⁷⁴, 23 Silberg, Judy L.^{78,33}, Miller, Allison⁷⁹, Keltikangas-Järvinen, Liisa⁸⁰, Christian Hakulinen⁸⁰, Pulkki-Råback, 24 Laura⁸⁰, Alexandra Havdahl^{103,104}, Per Magnus¹¹³, Raitakari, Olli T.⁸¹⁻⁸³, Perry, John R.B.⁴⁵, Llop, 25 Sabrina^{84,85}, Lopez-Espinosa, Maria-Jose^{84,85,86}, Bønnelykke, Klaus⁴³, Bisgaard, Hans⁴³, Sunyer, Jordi³⁸⁻ 26 27 ^{40,87}, Lehtimäki, Terho³⁷, Arseneault, Louise², Standl, Marie³⁵, Heinrich, Joachim^{35,88,89}, Boden, Joseph⁹⁰, Pearson, John⁹¹, Horwood, John⁹⁰, Kennedy, Martin⁹², Poulton, Richie⁹³, Eaves, Lindon J.^{78,33}, 28 Maes, Hermine H.^{78, 33,94}, Hewitt, John^{30, 31}, Copeland, William E.⁹⁵, Costello, Elizabeth J.⁹⁶, Williams, 29 Gail M.⁵¹, Wray, Naomi^{55,97}, Järvelin, Marjo-Riitta^{25,98}, McGue, Matt²⁴, Iacono, William²⁴, Caspi, 30 Avshalom^{57,99,100,34}, Moffitt, Terrie E.^{57,99,100,34}, Whitehouse, Andrew¹⁰¹, Pennell, Craig E.²³, Klump, 31 Kelly L.¹⁰², Burt, S. Alexandra¹⁰², Dick, Danielle M.^{19,62,63}, Reichborn-Kjennerud, Ted^{104,105}, Martin, 32 Nicholas G.¹⁵, Medland, Sarah E.¹⁵, Vrijkotte, Tanja¹³, Kaprio, Jaakko^{106,12}, Tiemeier, Henning^{11,107}, 33 Davey Smith, George^{8,108}, Hartman, Catharina A.¹⁰⁹, Oldehinkel, Albertine J.¹⁰⁹, Casas, Miquel^{4,5,47,6}, 34 Ribasés, Marta^{4,5,6}, Lichtenstein, Paul³, Lundström, Sebastian^{111,112}, Plomin, Robert², Bartels, 35 Meike^{1,27,}*, Nivard, Michel G.^{1,*}, Boomsma, Dorret I.^{1,27,*} 36

37

¹ Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom

40 ³ Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

- 41 ⁴ Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain
- 42 ⁵ Biomedical Network Research Centre on Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III,
- 43 Barcelona, Spain
- ⁶ Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Group of Psychiatry, Mental Health and Addiction, Vall d'Hebron
- 45 Research Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁷ Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,
- 47 Groningen, The Netherlands
- 48 ⁸ MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 49 ⁹ Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, The Netherlands
- ¹⁰ Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, The Netherlands
- ¹¹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus University Medical Center,
- 52 Rotterdam, Netherlands
- ¹² Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, HiLife, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ¹³ Department of Public Health, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC,
- 55 location Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ¹⁴ Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Amsterdam Public Health
- 57 Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam,
- 58 Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 59 ¹⁵ QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia
- 60 ¹⁶ Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Norway
- 61 ¹⁷Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital
- 62 and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- 63 ¹⁸ Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge,
- 64 Massachusetts, USA
- 65 ¹⁹ Department of Psychology, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA
- 66 ²⁰ Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Norway
- 67 ²¹ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA
- 68 ²² Department of Biostatistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 69 ²³ School of Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Newcastle
- 70 ²⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, USA
- 71 ²⁵ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health,
- 72 School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, W2 1PG, United Kingdom
- 73 ²⁶ Child Health Research Centre, the University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- 74 ²⁷ Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 75 ²⁸ Department of Sociology, College of Social and Behavioral Science, University of Utah
- 76 ²⁹ Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Utah
- ³⁰ Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 78 ³¹ Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 79 ³² Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA
- ³³ Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia
- 81 Commonwealth University
- 82 ³⁴ Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
- 83 ³⁵ Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München German Research Center for
- 84 Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

- 85 ³⁶ Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Division of
- 86 Metabolic Diseases and Nutritional Medicine, Munich, Germany
- 87 ³⁷ Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories, and Finnish Cardiovascular Research Center
- 88 Tampere, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere 33520, Finland
- 89 ³⁸ ISGlobal, Barcelona Institute for Global Health, Barcelona, Spain
- 90 ³⁹ Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain
- 91 ⁴⁰ CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain
- ⁴¹ Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology,
- 93 Barcelona, Spain
- 94 ⁴² BarcelonaBeta Brain Research Center, Pasqual Maragall Foundation (FPM), Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴³ COPSAC, Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital,
- 96 University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 97 ⁴⁴ Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Gentofte, Denmark
- 98 ⁴⁵ MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Institute of
- 99 Metabolic Science, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, UK
- 100 ⁴⁶ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and
- 101 Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
- 102 ⁴⁷ Department of Psychiatry and Legal Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona,
- 103 Spain
- 104 ⁴⁸ Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Qc, Canada
- ⁴⁹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The
 Netherlands
- ⁵⁰ De Bascule, Academic centre for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 108 ⁵¹ School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Herston 4006,
- 109 Australia
- 110 ⁵² Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Service, Child and Youth Mental Health Service,
- 111 Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- 112 ⁵³ Metro North Mental Health, University of Queensland, QLD, Australia
- 113 ⁵⁴ Queensland Centre for Mental Health Research, QLD, Australia
- 114 ⁵⁵ Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, QLD, Australia
- ⁵⁶ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 116 ⁵⁷ Department of Psychology and Neuroscience and Center for Genomic and Computational Biology,
- 117 Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
- 118 ⁵⁸ Genetics of Complex Traits, University of Exeter Medical School, Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital,
- 119 Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK
- 120 ⁵⁹ Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA
- 121 ⁶⁰ Child and Adolescent Mental Health Centre, Mental Health Services Capital Region, Research Unit,
- 122 Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 123 ⁶¹ Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁶² Department of Human and Molecular Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA,
 USA
- 126 ⁶³ College Behavioral and Emotional Health Institute, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond,
- 127 VA, USA
- 128 ⁶⁴ Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
- ⁶⁵ Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

- 130 ⁶⁶ Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)-sponsored Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging
- 131 (NCHA), Leiden, The Netherlands
- 132 ⁶⁷ Washington University, St Louis MO, USA
- 133 ⁶⁸ Division of Health Data and Digitalisation, The Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- ⁶⁹ Department of Genetics and Bioinformatics, Division of Health Data and Digitalization, The
- 135 Norwegian Institute of Public Health
- 136 ⁷⁰ K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Department of Clinical Science, University of
- 137 Bergen, Norway
- 138 ⁷¹ Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Norway
- 139 ⁷² School of Psychology, University of Lincoln, Lincolnshire, LN5 7AY, United Kingdom
- 140 ⁷³ Institute for Social Science Research, University of Queensland, Long Pocket 4068, Australia
- 141 ⁷⁴ Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego
- 142 ⁷⁵ University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA
- ⁷⁶ Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado Boulder,
 USA
- ⁷⁷ Department of Psychology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA
- 146 ⁷⁸ Department of Human & Molecular Genetics, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral
- 147 Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University
- 148 ⁷⁹ Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, and Carney Centre for Pharmacogenomics,
- 149 University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
- ⁸⁰ Department of Psychology and Logopedics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland
- 151 ⁸¹Centre for Population Health Research, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital
- 152 ⁸² Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku
- 153 ⁸³ Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland
- 154 ⁸⁴ Epidemiology and Environmental Health Joint Research Unit, FISABIO-Universitat Jaume I-
- 155 Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain
- 156 ⁸⁵ Spanish Consortium for Research on Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
- 157 ⁸⁶ Faculty of Nursing and Chiropody, Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain
- 158 ⁸⁷ IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain
- 159 ⁸⁸ Institute and Outpatient Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University of
- 160 Munich Medical Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany
- 161 ⁸⁹ Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of
- 162 Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
- 163 ⁹⁰ Christchurch Health and Development Study, Department of Psychological Medicine, University of
- 164 Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
- ⁹¹ Biostatistics and Computational Biology Unit, Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science,
- 166 University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
- 167 ⁹² Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, and Carney Centre for Pharmacogenomics,
- 168 University of Otago Christchurch, New Zealand
- ⁹³ Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit, University of Otago, Dunedin,
- 170 New Zealand
- 171 ⁹⁴ Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University
- ⁹⁵ Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, University of Vermont
- ⁹⁶ Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Duke University

- ⁹⁷ Queensland Brain Institute. Institute for Molecular Bioscience. University of Queensland. St Lucia 174
- 175 4072, Australia
- ⁹⁸ Center for Life Course Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, PO Box 8000, Fl-176
- 90014 Oulun vliopisto, Finland 177
- ⁹⁹ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, 178 179 NC. USA
- ¹⁰⁰ Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Research Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, 180
- Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK 181
- ¹⁰¹ Telethon Kids Institute, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia 182
- ¹⁰² Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA 183
- ¹⁰³ Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway 184
- ¹⁰⁴ Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway 185
- ¹⁰⁵Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway 186
- 187 ¹⁰⁶ Department of Public Health, Medical Faculty, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ¹⁰⁷ Department of Social and Behavioral Science, Harvard TH Chand School of Public Health, Boston, 188 USA
- 189
- ¹⁰⁸ Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK 190
- 191 ¹⁰⁹ Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,
- Groningen, The Netherlands 192
- ¹¹⁰ School of Medical Sciences, Orebro University, Orebro, Sweden 193
- ¹¹¹ Gillberg Neuropsychiatry Centre, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden 194
- ¹¹² Sweden Centre for Ethics, Law and Mental Health, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, 195

Sweden 196

- ¹¹³Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway 197
- ¹¹⁴ Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement 198
- * These authors jointly supervised this work 199
- 200
- Corresponding authors: M Bartels (m.bartels@vu.nl), MG Nivard (m.g.nivard@vu.nl), DI Boomsma 201
- 202 (di.boomsma@vu.nl)
- 203

205 Abstract

206	Childhood aggressive behavior (AGG) has a substantial heritability, with limited success in genome-
207	wide association studies. Here we present a genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) of
208	childhood AGG, in which all phenotype measures across age from multiple assessors were included.
209	We analyzed phenotype assessments for a total of 328 935 observations from 87 485 children aged
210	between 1.5 and 18 years, while accounting for sample overlap. We also meta-analyzed within
211	subsets of the data – i.e. within rater, instrument and age. SNP-heritability for the overall meta-
212	analysis (AGG _{overall}) was 3.31% (SE=0.0038). We found no genome-wide significant SNPs for AGG _{overall} .
213	The gene-based analysis returned three significant genes: ST3GAL3 (P=1.6E-06), PCDH7 (P=2.0E-06)
214	and IPO13 (P=2.5E-06). All three genes have previously been associated with educational traits.
215	Polygenic scores based on our GWAMA significantly predicted aggression in a holdout sample of
216	children (variance explained = 0.44%) and in retrospectively assessed childhood aggression (variance
217	explained = 0.20%). Genetic correlations (r_g) among rater-specific assessment of AGG ranged from
218	r_g =0.46 between self- and teacher-assessment to r_g =0.81 between mother- and teacher-assessment
219	We obtained moderate to strong $r_{\!g}{}^\prime$ s with selected phenotypes from multiple domains, but hardly
220	with any of the classical biomarkers thought to be associated with AGG. Significant genetic
221	correlations were observed with most psychiatric and psychological traits (range $ r_g $: 0.19 – 1.00),
222	except for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Aggression had a negative genetic correlation (r_g =~ -0.5)
223	with cognitive traits and age at first birth. Aggression was strongly genetically correlated with
224	smoking phenotypes (range $\left r_{g} ight $: 0.46 – 0.60). The genetic correlations between aggression and
225	psychiatric disorders were weaker for teacher-reported AGG than for mother- and self-reported
226	AGG. The current GWAMA of childhood aggression provides a powerful tool to interrogate the rater
227	specific genetic etiology of AGG.
228	

229

230 Introduction

231 There is a variety of phenotypic definitions of aggressive behavior (AGG), from broadly defined 232 externalizing problems to narrow definitions like chronic physical aggression [1]. Generally any action 233 performed with the intention to harm another organism can be viewed as AGG [2, 3]. AGG is 234 considered a common human behavior [4], with people varying in the degree of AGG they exhibit [5]. 235 Children typically display AGG early in life, after which symptoms tend to diminish [6, 7], although in 236 some individuals AGG persists into adulthood [8]. AGG is also part of numerous childhood and adult 237 disorders [9], including oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD)[10]. In its 238 extreme forms, AGG may be considered a disorder by itself – inflicting a huge personal and financial 239 burden on the individual, their relatives, friends, and society as a whole [11]. In general population 240 studies, AGG is commonly treated as a quantitative trait, and pathological AGG has been argued to be 241 best seen as the extreme end of such a continuum [12-14]. Childhood AGG co-occurs with many other 242 behavioral, emotional, and social problems [15, 16] and is associated with increased risk of developing 243 negative outcomes later in life, including cannabis abuse [17], criminal convictions [18], anxiety 244 disorder [19], or antisocial personality disorder [20]. Not all associated outcomes are harmful [21]. For 245 example, children who learn to control their impulses and apply aggressive acts as a well-timed 246 coercion strategy are generally more liked by their peers and score higher on social dominance [22]. 247 Despite a heritability of roughly 50% [5, 23], genome-wide association studies (GWASs) on 248 AGG have not identified genome-wide significant loci that replicated [1]. Childhood cohorts often have 249 rich longitudinal data and assessments from multiple informants and we aimed to increase power to 250 detect genomic loci via multivariate genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) across 251 genetically correlated traits [24, 25]. In AGG, twin studies have reported moderate to high genetic 252 correlations among instruments, raters, and age [26–29]. Childhood behavior can be context 253 dependent, with teachers, fathers, and mothers each observing and rating aggression against a 254 different background. Teachers are typically unrelated to the child, and see the child in the context of 255 a structured classroom and can judge the child's behavior against that of other pupils. Parents share

256 part of their genome with their offspring and, most often, a household. Parental genomes also 257 influence the home environment, and it is predominantly within this context that parents observe the 258 child's behavior. Multiple assessments of aggression by teachers, fathers, and mothers, by different 259 instruments and at different ages, provides information that may be unique to a specific context and 260 therefore may capture context-dependent expression of AGG. These considerations support an 261 approach in which all AGG data are simultaneously analyzed, while retaining the ability to analyze the 262 data by rater. Our analyses include repeated observations on the same subject, which requires 263 appropriate modeling of the clustered data, since the covariance between test statistics becomes a 264 function of a true shared genetic signal and the phenotypic correlation among outcomes [29]. We 265 developed an approach that allowed inclusion of all measures for a child – e.g. from multiple raters at 266 multiple ages – and resolved issues of sample overlap at the level of the meta-analysis. By doing so we 267 make full use of all data and maximize statistical power for gene discovery. At the same time, by 268 aggregating data at the level of the meta-analysis we retain the flexibility to estimate r_{g} 's between 269 AGG at different ages, by different raters and instruments, and test how AGG assessed by multiple 270 raters differ in the r_a with other phenotypes. 271 Data on AGG from parent-, teacher- and self-report in boys and girls were collected in 29

272 cohorts from Europe, USA, Australia, and New-Zealand with 328 935 observations from 87 485 273 participants, aged 1.5 to 18 years. First, we combined all data to produce the largest GWAMA on 274 childhood AGG to date. SNP-based association tests were followed up by gene-based analyses. We 275 computed polygenic scores (PGSs) to test the out-of-sample prediction of AGG to explore the 276 usefulness of our GWAMA in future research [30]. To assess genetic pleiotropy between AGG and 277 associated traits, we estimated r_{g} 's with a preselected set of external phenotypes from multiple 278 domains - with a focus on psychiatric and psychological traits, cognition, anthropometric and 279 reproductive traits, substance use, and classic biomarkers of AGG, including testosterone levels. 280 Second, meta-analyses were done by rater, instrument, and age. We estimated r_{g} 's across these

assessments of AGG. To identify context-specific genetic overlap with the external phenotypes, r_g 's were also estimated between rater-specific assessments of AGG and the external phenotypes.

284 Methodology

285 Data description

286 Extended description of the cohorts and phenotypes is supplied in the Supplemental Text and 287 Supplementary Tables 1-9. Cohorts with assessment of AGG in genotyped children and adolescents 288 took part in the meta-analysis. AGG was assessed on continuous scales, with higher scores indicating 289 higher levels of AGG. Within cohort, samples were stratified by (1) rater, (2) instrument and (3) age, 290 maintaining at least 450 observations in each stratum. We ran a univariate GWAS for each stratum 291 within each cohort (Supplementary Table 8). To account for dependence within cohort in the meta-292 analysis (see Supplementary Text), each cohort supplied the phenotypic covariance matrix between 293 the AGG measures (Supplementary Table 10) and the degree of sample overlap (Supplementary 294 Table 11) between the different strata. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the distribution of phenotypic 295 correlations across all AGG measures. We assumed no sample overlap across cohorts, and 296 phenotypic correlations among cohorts were set to zero and omitted from Supplementary Figure 1. 297 Phenotypic correlations of zero also correspond to independent samples within a cohort. For GWASs 298 with sample overlap, most phenotypic correlations ranged between 0.1 and 0.4, with a median value 299 of 0.29. When stratified by rater, phenotypic correlations were more heavily centered around 0.4 300 (see Supplementary Figure 1). The maximum number of correlations within cohort at a specific age is 301 three based on four raters, with the largest number of observations within age-bin around age 12 302 years. Within this age group, phenotypic correlations among raters ranged between 0.22 and 0.65, 303 with a median of 0.34. The lowest phenotypic correlations were seen between teachers and parents. 304 Since limited data were available on individuals of non-European ancestry, we restricted analyses to 305 individuals of European ancestry.

306	In total, 29 cohorts contributed 163 GWASs, based on 328 935 observations from 87 485
307	unique individuals (Supplementary Table 2). Children were 1.5 to 18 years old at assessment, or
308	retrospectively assessed at these ages. Cohorts supplied between 1 and 26 univariate GWASs.
309	Approximately 50% of the subjects were males. Most GWASs were based on maternal- (52.4%) and
310	self-assessment (25.1%), with the remainder based on teacher (12.4%) and paternal report (10.1%).
311	After QC, applied to the univariate GWASs, between 3.47M SNPs and 7.28M SNPs were retained for
312	meta-analysis (see Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 9).
313	
314	Meta-analysis
315	Within cohort measures of AGG may be dependent due to including repeated measures of AGG over
316	age and measures from multiple raters. To account for the effect of sample overlap, we applied a
317	modified version of the multivariate meta-analysis approach developed by Baselmans et al [25] (see
318	Table 1). Instead of estimating the dependence among GWASs based on the cross-trait-intercept
319	(CTI) with linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC)[29, 31], the expected pairwise CTI value
320	was calculated (Table 1) using the observed sample overlap and phenotypic covariance. The
321	effective sample size ($N_{e\!f\!f}$) was approximated by the third formula in Table 1. When there is no
322	sample overlap (or a phenotypic correlation equal to zero) between all GWASs (i.e. CTI is an identity
323	matrix), N _{eff} is equal to the sum of sample sizes.
324	First, we meta-analyzed all available GWASs (AGG _{overall}). Second, we meta-analyzed all
325	available data within rater (rater-specific GWAMAs). Third, rater-specific age-bins were created for
326	mother- and self-reported AGG based on the mean ages of the subjects in each GWAS (age-specific
327	GWAMA). To ensure that the age-specific GWAMAs would have sufficient power for subsequent
328	analyses, age-bins were created such that the total univariate number of observations (N $_{ m obs}$)

329 exceeded 15 000 (see Supplementary Text and Supplementary Table 12). For father- and teacher-

330 reported AGG there were insufficient data to run age-specific GWAMAs. Fourth, we performed

instrument-specific GWAMAs for (1) the ASEBA scales and (2) for the SDQ, because for these two

- 332 instruments the total *univariate* N_{obs} was over 15 000.
- 333 SNPs that had MAF<0.01, N_{eff}<15 000, or were observed in less than two cohorts were
- removed from further analyses. SNP-heritabilities (h_{SNP}^2) were estimated using LDSC [31]. r_a 's were
- calculated across stratified assessments of AGG using LDSC [29]. To ensure sufficient power for the
- 336 genetic correlations, r_q was calculated across stratified assessments of AGG if the Z-score of the
- 337 h_{SNP}^2 for the corresponding GWAMA was 4 or higher [29].

338

339 Gene-based tests

340 For AGG_{overall}, a gene-based analysis was done in MAGMA [32]. The gene-based test combines P-

341 values from multiple SNPs to obtain a test statistic for each gene, while accounting for LD between

342 the SNPs. From the MAGMA website (see URLs) we obtained (1) a list of 18 087 genes and their

- 343 start- and end-positions, and (2) pre-formatted European genotypes from 1 000 Genomes phase 3
- 344 for the reference LD. We applied a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at α =0.05/18 087. A
- 345 lookup for significant results was performed in GWAS Catalog and PhenoScanner (see URLs).

346

347 Polygenic Scores

348 All data were meta-analyzed twice more, once omitting all data from the Netherlands Twin Register 349 (NTR) and once omitting the Australian data from the Queensland Institute for Medical Research 350 (QIMR,) and the Mater-University of Queensland Study of Pregnancy (MUSP). As the NTR target 351 sample we considered mother-reported AGG at age 7 (N=4 491), which represents the largest NTR 352 univariate stratum. In the QIRM participants, we tested whether our childhood AGG PGS predicted 353 adult retrospective assessment of their own CD behavior during adolescence (N = 10706). We 354 allowed for cohort-specific best practice in the polygenic score analysis. In the NTR, we created 16 355 sets of PGSs in PLINK1.9 [33], with P-value thresholds between 1 and 1.0E-05 (see Supplementary 356 Table 13). The remaining SNPs were clumped in PLINK. We applied an r^2 -threshold of 0.5 and

357	minimum clumping distance of 250 000 base pair positions [33]. Age, age ² , sex, first five ancestry-
358	based principal components, a SNP-array variable, and interaction terms between sex and age, and
359	sex and age ² were defined as fixed effects. To account for relatedness, prediction was performed
360	using generalized equation estimation (GEE) as implemented in the "gee" package (version 4.13-19)
361	in R (version 3.5.3). GEE applies a sandwich correction over the standard errors to account for
362	clustering in the data [34]. To correct for multiple testing, we applied an FDR correction at α =0.05 for
363	16 tests. QIMR excluded SNPs with low imputation quality ($r^2 = 0.6$) and MAF below 1% and selected
364	the most significant independent SNPs using PLINK1.9 [35] (criteria linkage disequilibrium $r^2 = 0.1$
365	within windows of 10 MBp). We calculated different PGS for seven P-value thresholds (p<1e-5, p
366	<0.001, p <0.01, p <0.05, p <0.1, p <0.5, and p <1.0) of the GWAS summary statistics. PGS were
367	calculated from the imputed genotype dosages to the 1 000 Genomes (Phase 3 Release 5) reference
368	panel. We fitted linear mixed models, which controlled for relatedness using a Genetic Relatedness
369	Matrix (GRM) and covariates sex, age, two dummy variables for the GWAS array used, and the first
370	five genetic principal components. The parameters of the model were estimated using GCTA 1.9 [36]
371	The linear model was as follows:
	CD symtom score = intercept + $Covariates * b + c * PGS + G$
372	where b and c represent the vectors of fixed effects; and $G \sim N(0, GRM * \sigma^2 G)$ represents the
373	random effect that models the sample relatedness, with GRM being the N by N matrix of
374	relatedness estimated from SNPs and N = 10 706 is the number of individuals.
375	
376	Genetic correlations with external phenotypes
377	We computed r_{g} 's between AGG _{overall} and a set of preselected outcomes (N=46; collectively referred

to as "external phenotypes"; Supplementary Table 14). Phenotypes were selected based on

- 379 established hypotheses with AGG and the availability of sufficiently powered GWAS summary
- statistics. We restricted r_g 's to phenotypes for which the Z-scores of the LDSC-based $h_{SNP}^2 \ge 4$ [29].
- 381 Next, we estimated r_g 's for all rater-specific assessments of AGG (except for father-reported AGG).

382	Genomic Structural Equation Modelling (Genomic SEM)[37] was applied to test if r_g 's were
383	significantly different across raters. Specifically, for every phenotype, we tested whether (1) all three
384	$r_g{'}$ s between the external phenotype and rater-specific assessment of AGG, i.e. mother, teacher or
385	self-ratings, could be constrained at zero, and (2) whether $r_{\!g}{}'$ s could be constrained to be equal
386	across raters. A χ^2 difference test was applied to assess whether imposing the constraints resulted
387	in a significant worse model fit compared to a model where the $r_g{}'$ s between the phenotype and
388	three rater-specific assessment of AGG were allowed to differ. We applied an FDR correction at
389	α =0.05 over two models for 46 external phenotypes, for a total of 92 tests. An FDR correction for 4 x
390	46=184 tests was applied to correct for multiple testing of whether the genetic correlations were
391	significantly different from zero.
392	
393	<u>Results</u>
394	Overall GWAMA
395	We first meta-analyzed the effect of each SNP across all available univariate GWASs. Assuming an
396	N _{eff} of 151 741, the h_{SNP}^2 of AGG _{overall} was estimated at 3.31% (SE=0.0038). The mean χ^2 -statistic was
397	1.12 along with an LDSC-intercept of 1.02 (SE=0.01). This indicated that a small, but significant, part
398	of the inflation in test statistics might have been due to confounding biases, which can either reflect
399	population stratification or subtle misspecification of sample overlap within cohorts. No genome-
400	wide significant hits were found for AGG _{overall} (Figure 1). The list of suggestive associations (P<1.0E-05)
401	is provided in Supplementary Table 15. SNPs were annotated with SNPnexus (see URLs). The
402	strongest association, in terms of significance, was located on chromosome 2 (rs2570485; <i>P</i> =2.0E-
403	07). The SNP is located inside a gene desert, without any gene in 400Kbp in any direction. The
404	second strongest independent association was found with rs113599846 (P=4.3E-07), which is
405	
	located inside an intronic region of <i>TNRC18</i> on chromosome 7. None of the suggestive associations

407	We tested previously reported genome-wide significant associations for AGG [1] and
408	performed a lookup in $AGG_{overall}$. We restricted lookup to associations with autosomal SNPs that
409	were found in samples of European ancestry, resulting in three loci. One genome-wide significant hit
410	was reported for adult antisocial personality disorder (rs4714329; OR=0.63 ¹ ; P=1.64E-09)[38]. The
411	same SNP, however, had an opposite direction of effect in AGG _{overall} (β =0.0022; <i>P</i> =0.41). Tielbeek <i>et</i>
412	al [39] reported two genome-wide significant hits for antisocial behavior, one on chromosome 1
413	(rs2764450) and one on chromosome 11 (rs11215217). While both SNPs have the same direction of
414	effect, neither SNP is associated with AGG _{overall} (both <i>P</i> >0.5).

415

416 Gene-based analysis

417	After correction for multiple testing, the gene-based analysis returned three significant results
418	(Supplementary Table 16): ST3GAL3 (ST3 beta-galactoside alpha-2,3-sialyltransferase3; P=1.6E-06),
419	PCDH7 (protocadherin 7; P=2.0E-06) and IPO13 (importin 13; P=2.5E-06). ST3GAL3 codes for a type II
420	membrane protein that is involved in catalyzing the transfer of sialic acid from CMP-sialic acid to
421	galactose-containing substrates. ST3GAL3 has been implicated in 107 GWASs, most notably on
422	intelligence and educational attainment. The top SNP in <i>ST3GAL3</i> (rs2485997; <i>P</i> =2.48E-06) is in
423	strong LD (r ² >0.8) with several other SNPs inside the gene body of <i>ST3GAL3</i> and in moderate LD
424	(r ² >0.6) with SNPs in several neighboring genes (Supplementary Figure 3). PCDH7 codes for a protein
425	that is hypothesized to function in cell-cell recognition and adhesion. PCDH7 has been implicated in
426	196 previous GWASs, for example educational attainment and adventurousness. The top SNP for
427	PCDH7 (rs13138213; P=1.44E-06) is in strong LD (r ² >0.8) with a small number of other closely located
428	SNPs and the signal for the gene-based test appears to be driven by two independent loci
429	(Supplementary Figure 4). IPO13 codes for a nuclear transport protein. IPO13 has been implicated in
430	the UKB GWASs on whether a person holds a college or university degree and intelligence. The top

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ odds ratio was signed to the other allele in the original study

SNP (rs3791116; *P*=1.19E-05) is in moderate to strong LD with multiple SNPs (Supplementary Figure
5), including SNPs in the neighboring *ST3GAL3* gene.

433

434 **Polygenic prediction**

- 435 In children, 11 out of 16 polygenic scores were significantly correlated with mother-reported AGG in
- 436 7-year-olds (Figure 2A) after correction for multiple testing. The scores explained between 0.036%

437 and 0.44% of the phenotypic variance. The significant correlations consistently emerged when

- 438 scores including SNPs with P-values above 0.002 in the discovery GWAS were considered. In the
- retrospective assessments of adolescent CD, the PGS calculated at various thresholds (Figure 2B)
- 440 explained up to 0.2% of the variance in symptom sum scores. Generally, CD is significantly predicted
- 441 at most thresholds, although, as we would expect based on the SNP-heritability of AGG_{overall}, the
- 442 proportion of explained variance is small.

443

444 Genetic correlation with external phenotypes

445 Genetic correlations between AGG_{overall} and a set of preselected external phenotypes are shown in 446 Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 17. These phenotypes can broadly be grouped into psychiatric 447 and psychological traits, substance use, cognitive ability, anthropometric traits, classic biomarkers of 448 AGG, reproductive traits, and sleeping behavior. We included childhood phenotypes (e.g. birth 449 weight and childhood IQ) and disorders (e.g. ADHD and autism spectrum disorder [ASD]), but the 450 majority of phenotypes were adult characteristics or characteristics measured in adult samples. 451 After correction for multiple testing, 36 phenotypes showed a significant r_a with AGG_{overall} (P<0.02). 452 In general, the highest positive correlations were seen with psychiatric traits, notably ADHD, ASD, 453 and major depressive disorder (MDD). The largest negative genetic correlations were found for age 454 at smoking initiation, childhood IQ, and age at first birth. Based on the biomarker-aggression 455 literature, we tested for the presence of genetic correlations between AGG overall. and lipids, heart rate, 456 heart rate variability, and testosterone levels. Very low genetic correlations were observed for

- 457 AGG_{overall}, and these biomarkers, with in many cases the sign of the genetic correlation opposite to
- 458 what was expected based on the literature on biomarkers of AGG.
- 459

460 Stratified assessment of childhood aggressive behavior

- 461 Separate meta-analyses were carried out for raters, instruments and age. None of these GWAMAs
- 462 returned genome-wide significant hits. Manhattan plots for the four rater-specific GWAMAs are
- 463 shown in Supplementary Figure 6. Estimates of h_{SNP}^2 for rater-specific assessment of AGG are shown
- 464 in Supplementary Table 18. The lowest h_{SNP}^2 was observed for father-reported AGG (h_{SNP}^2 =0.04;
- 465 SE=0.03) and the highest for teacher-reported AGG (h_{SNP}^2 =0.08; SE=0.02). We estimated r_g between
- 466 rater-specific assessment of AGG, except for father-reported AGG, which returned a non-significant
- 467 h_{SNP}^2 . Genetic correlations were 0.67 between AGG_{Mother} and AGG_{Self} (SE=0.10), and 0.81 between

AGG_{Mother} and AGG_{Teacher} (SE=0.11), and in both cases significantly lower than 1. A moderate r_g was

469 estimated between AGG_{Self} and AGG_{Teacher} (r_q =0.46; SE=0.13).

- 470 We performed a GWAMA across all GWASs where an ASEBA scale was used (AGG_{ASEBA}) and
- 471 another GWAMA across all GWASs for the SDQ (AGG_{SDQ}). SNP-heritabilities for AGG_{ASEBA} and AGG_{SDQ}
- 472 were 0.031 (SE=0.0099) and 0.026 (SE=0.0086), respectively. The GWAMAs were insufficiently

473 powered to estimate r_g across instrument-specific assessment of AGG.

Age-specific GWAMAs were performed for mother- and self-reported AGG, which made up 77.5% of the data. Mother-reported data were split into seven age-bins and self-reported data into three (Supplementary Table 12). Estimates of the h_{SNP}^2 for each age-specific GWAMA can be found in Supplementary Table 19. For mother-reported AGG, h_{SNP}^2 ranged between 0.012 and 0.078. For self-reported AGG, the highest h_{SNP}^2 was seen for the retrospective data (h_{SNP}^2 =0.12; SE=0.03), which also showed a significantly inflated intercept (1.05; SE=0.01). r_g could only be estimated between AGG_{M7}, AGG_{S13} and AGG_{SR} (Supplementary Table 20).

481

482 Genetic correlation between rater-specific assessment of AGG and external phenotypes

. .

483	We estimated rater-specific r_g 's with the external phenotypes, except for father-reported AGG, and
484	tested if these r_g 's could be constrained to be equal across mothers, teachers and self-ratings. For
485	ADHD, ASD, MDD, schizophrenia, well-being, and self-reported health, constraining the $r_{\!g}{}^{'}$ s to be
486	equal across rater resulted in significantly worse model fit (Supplementary Table 21). For all these
487	phenotypes, r_g 's with teacher-reported AGG were consistently lower compared to mother- and self-
488	reported AGG (Supplementary Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 17). For lifetime cannabis use,
489	genetic correlations also could not be constrained to be equal across raters. Here, a relatively strong
490	r_g was found with self-reported AGG (r_g =0.36; SE=0.08) compared to teacher- (r_g =0.13; SE=0.07) and
491	mother-reported AGG (r_g =0.08; SE=0.08).
492	

494 We present the largest genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) of childhood aggressive 495 behavior (AGG) to date. The gene-based analysis implicated three genes, PCDH7, ST3GAL3 and IPO13, 496 based on the overall meta-analysis (AGG_{overall}), which did not return genome-wide significant SNPs. Lead SNPs in the implicated genes were related to educational outcomes, but did not reach genome-497 498 wide significance and these loci require further evidence before being considered as AGG risk 499 variants. Polygenic scores (PGS) predicted childhood AGG and retrospectively assessed adolescent 500 CD. Stratified analyses within AGG generally returned moderate to strong genetic correlations across 501 raters. We found substantial genetic correlations between AGG_{overall} and a list of preselected external 502 phenotypes from various domains, including, psychiatry and psychology, cognition, anthropometric 503 and reproductive traits. Most notably was the perfect r_a between AGG_{overall} and ADHD (r_a =1.00; 504 SE=0.07). This is in line with the moderate-to-strong phenotypic correlations that have consistently 505 been found across sex-, rater-, age- and instrument-specific assessment of AGG with attention 506 problems and hyperactivity [15]. Significant genetic correlations were further observed with other 507 psychiatric and psychological traits (range $|r_a|$: 0.19 – 0.55). Negative genetic correlations (r_a = ~ -0.5) 508 were found with all three traits from the cognitive domain. Genetic correlations were positive with

17

493

Discussion

smoking initiation (r_g =0.55; SE=0.04) and smoking quantity (r_g =0.46; SE=0.06), and negative with age at smoking initiation (r_g =-0.60; SE=0.09).

511 We examined genetic correlations with classical biomarkers of aggressive behavior. Higher 512 levels of aggression have been associated with lower levels of LDL [40] and lower resting heart rate 513 [41, 42]. We found a positive, albeit weak, r_g between AGG_{overall} and LDL (r_g =0.15; SE=0.07), which 514 has an opposite sign than what was expected based on the literature [39]. More broadly, except for HDL (r_g =-0.13; SE=0.07), all measures of lipid levels returned significant positive r_g 's with AGG_{overall}, 515 516 albeit weakly ($r_q < 0.2$). No heart rate measure showed a significant genetic correlation with AGG_{overall}. 517 The relationship between testosterone levels and (childhood) AGG in the literature is, at best, 518 unclear. A positive association between AGG and testosterone is often assumed, but the relation 519 may be more complex [43]. Both positive and negative phenotypic correlations have been found and 520 seem context-dependent [44]. We found significant negative, r_g 's between AGG_{overall} and testosterone levels in males and females ($|r_g|$ < 0.15). These should be interpreted with some caution 521 522 because of the design of the GWA studies: AGG was measured in children and young adolescents 523 whereas testosterone levels were measured in adults in the UK Biobank [45], and genetic stability of 524 testosterone levels might be low, at least for males [46]. Genetic correlations with reproductive 525 traits showed a positive relation with having more children (r_g =0.27; SE=0.08) and having offspring 526 earlier in life (r_q =-0.60; SE=0.06), tending to confirm that not all associated outcomes are harmful. 527 The stratified design of our study also allowed for examination of the genetic etiology of 528 AGG in subsets of the data and examination of genetic correlations among raters. The r_a between 529 AGG_{Mother} and AGG_{Teacher} (r_q = 0.81; SE=0.11) was high, but less than unity, and is in line with previous 530 findings of rater-specific additive genetic effects in childhood AGG [47]. Most external phenotypes 531 showed comparable r_{g} 's with mother-, self-, and teacher-reported AGG. For ADHD, ASD, MDD, 532 schizophrenia, well-being, and self-reported health, r_a 's differed significantly across raters. Weaker 533 r_{g} 's were consistently found in teacher-reported AGG compared to mother- and self-reported AGG. 534 These findings indicate the presence of rater-specific effects when considering the genetic

535	correlation of AGG with other outcomes. $r_{\!g}{}^{\prime}$ s are generally stronger in the psychopathology and
536	psychological domains. A lack of power, however, seems insufficient to explain why we found
537	weaker r_g 's between AGG _{Teacher} and phenotypes from these two domains. Other phenotypes, like
538	smoking behavior, educational attainment or age at first birth, are, like psychopathological
539	phenotypes, highly genetically correlated with AGG _{overall} , but, unlike psychopathologies, have near
540	identical r_g 's across raters. The rater-specific effects on r_g 's between childhood AGG and external
541	phenotypes might be limited to psychopathologies, and future research into the genetics of
542	childhood psychopathology might consider these nuances in effects of assessment of childhood AGG
543	from various sources, be that multiple raters, instruments, and ages.
544	Despite the considerable sample sizes, we were still underpowered to compute genetic
545	correlations with external phenotypes while stratifying AGG over age or instrument. Age-stratified
546	GWASs in larger samples across development are a desirable target for future research. Because
547	genetic correlations can be computed between phenotypes for which a well-powered GWAS is
548	available, age-stratified GWAS of many developmental phenotypes, behavioral, cognitive and
549	neuroscientific can be leveraged to better understand development of childhood traits.
550	We note that multivariate results should be interpreted with some caution. While combining
551	data from correlated traits can indeed improve power to identify genome-wide associations,
552	interpreting the phenotype may not be straightforward. In the current GWAMA, we have referred to
553	our phenotype as "aggressive behavior" and interpreted the results accordingly. Aggressive behavior,
554	however, is an umbrella term that has been used to identify a wide range of distinct – though
555	correlated – traits and behaviors [1].
556	Genome-wide association studies are increasingly successful in identifying genomic loci for
557	complex human traits [48] and also in psychiatry, genetic biomarkers are increasingly thought of as
558	promising for both research and treatment. Genetic risk prediction holds promise for adult
559	psychiatric disorders [30] and it seems reasonable to expect the same for childhood disorders. Here
560	we found that polygenic scores explain up to 0.44% of the phenotypic variance in AGG in 7-year-olds

561 and 0.2% of the variance in retrospectively reported adolescent CD. Future studies may explore the 562 utility of these PGSs in illuminating pleiotropy between AGG_{overall} and other traits. A limiting factor in 563 this regard is the relatively low SNP-heritability, which puts an upper bound on the predictive 564 accuracy of PGSs. Since measurement error suppresses SNP-heritability, better measurement may 565 offer an avenue to higher powered GWAS, and subsequently to better PGS. Furthermore, sample 566 sizes for developmental phenotypes, including AGG may need to increase by one to two orders of 567 magnitude before PGS become useful for individual patients. 568 Despite our extensive effort, the first genome-wide significant SNP for childhood AGG has 569 yet to be found. Even in the absence of genome-wide significant loci, however, GWASs aid in 570 clarifying the biology behind complex traits. Our results show that, even without genome-wide 571 significant hits, a GWAS can be powerful enough to illuminate the genetic etiology of a trait in the 572 form of r_a 's with other complex traits. Non-significant associations are expected to capture part of 573 the polygenicity of a trait [31] and various follow up-analyses have been developed for GWASs that 574 do not require, but are aided by, genome-wide significant hits [49]. Polygenic scores aggregate SNP 575 effects into a weighted sum that indicates a person's genetic liability to develop a disorder. While 576 their clinical application is still limited in psychiatric disorders, they can already aid in understanding 577 the pleiotropy among psychiatric and other traits [30]. Similarly, summary statistics-based genetic 578 correlations (r_a) provide insight into the genetic overlap between complex traits [29, 50].

580 <u>URLs</u>

- 581 MAGMA: https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma
- 582 SNPnexus: <u>https://www.snp-nexus.org/index.html</u> (accessed on 28-8-2019)
- 583 GWAS Catalog: <u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/</u> (accessed on 29-8-2019)
- 584 PhenoScanner: http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/ (accessed on 29-8-2019)

585

586 Acknowledgements

- 587 We very warmly thank all participants, their parents and teachers for making this study possible. The
- 588 project was supported by the "Aggression in Children: Unraveling gene-environment interplay to
- 589 inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies" project (ACTION). ACTION received funding from the
- 590 European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768.
- 591 Cohort specific acknowledgements and funding information may be found in Supplemental Text.

592

593 **Author contributions** may be found in Supplemental Text

594

595 **Conflict of interests**

- 596 Miquel Casas has received travel grants and research support from Eli Lilly and Co., Janssen-Cilag,
- 597 Shire and Lundbeck and served as consultant for Eli Lilly and Co., Janssen-Cilag, Shire and Lundbeck.
- 598 Josep Antoni Ramos Quiroga was on the speakers' bureau and/or acted as consultant Eli-Lilly,
- 599 Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, Shire, Lundbeck, Almirall, Braingaze, Sincrolab, Medicine, Exeltis and Rubió
- 600 in the last 5 years. He also received travel awards (air tickets + hotel) for taking part in psychiatric
- 601 meetings from Janssen-Cilag, Rubió, Shire, Medice and Eli-Lilly. The Department of Psychiatry
- 602 chaired by him received unrestricted educational and research support from the following
- 603 companies in the last 5 years: Eli-Lilly, Lundbeck, Janssen-Cilag, Actelion, Shire, Ferrer, Oryzon, Roche,

604 Psious, and Rubió.

606 <u>References</u>

- 607 1. Odintsova V V, Ip HF, Pool R, Van der Laan CM, Boomsma DI, Roetman PJ, et al. Genomics of
- 608 human aggression: current state of genome-wide studies and an automated systematic
- 609 review tool. Psychiatr Genet. 2019;29:170–190.
- 610 2. Baron RA, Richardson DR. Human Aggression. 2nd ed. New York; 1994.
- 611 3. Anderson CA, Bushman BJ. Human aggression. Annu Rev Psychol. 2002;53:27–51.
- 4. Veroude K, Zhang-James Y, Fernàndez-Castillo N, Bakker MJ, Cormand B, Faraone S V.
- 613 Genetics of aggressive behavior: An overview. Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet.
- 614 2016;171:3–43.
- 5. Tuvblad C, Baker LA. Human aggression across the lifespan: genetic propensities and
- 616 environmental moderators. Adv Genet. 2011;75:171–214.
- 617 6. Bongers IL, Koot HM, van der Ende J, Verhulst FC. Developmental Trajectories of Externalizing
- 618 Behaviors in Childhood and Adolescence. Child Dev. 2004;75:1523–1537.
- 619 7. Tremblay RE, Vitaro F, Côté SM. Developmental Origins of Chronic Physical Aggression: A Bio-
- 620 Psycho-Social Model for the Next Generation of Preventive Interventions. Annu Rev Psychol.
- 621 2018;69:383–407.
- 622 8. Huesmann LR, Dubow EF, Boxer P. Continuity of aggression from childhood to early
- adulthood as a predictor of life outcomes: Implications for the adolescent-limited and life-

624 course-persistent models. Aggress Behav. 2009;35:136–149.

- 625 9. Zhang-James Y, Faraone S V. Genetic architecture for human aggression: A study of gene-
- 626 phenotype relationship in OMIM. Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet.
- 627 2016;171:641–649.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth
 Edition. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 630 11. Foster EM, Jones DE, The Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. The high costs of
- 631 aggression: public expenditures resulting from conduct disorder. Am J Public Health.

632 2005;95:1767–1772.

- 633 12. Walton KE, Ormel J, Krueger RF. The dimensional nature of externalizing behaviors in
- 634 adolescence: Evidence from a direct comparison of categorical, dimensional, and hybrid
- 635 models. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2011;39:553–561.
- 636 13. Walters GD, Ruscio J. Trajectories of youthful antisocial behavior: Categories or continua? J
- 637 Abnorm Child Psychol. 2013;41:653–666.
- Barry TD, Marcus DK, Barry CT, Coccaro EF. The latent structure of oppositional defiant
 disorder in children andadults. J Psychiatr Res. 2013;47:1932–1939.
- 640 15. Bartels M, Hendriks A, Mauri M, Krapohl E, Whipp A, Bolhuis K, et al. Childhood aggression
- 641 and the co-occurrence of behavioural and emotional problems: results across ages 3-
- 642 16 years from multiple raters in six cohorts in the EU-ACTION project. Eur Child Adolesc
- 643 Psychiatry. 2018;27:1105–1121.
- 644 16. Whipp AM, Vuoksimaa E, Bolhuis K, de Zeeuw EL, Korhonen T, Mauri M, et al. Teacher-rated
- 645 aggression and co-occurring problems and behaviors among schoolchildren: A comparison of

four population-based European cohorts. MedRxiv. 2019:19002576.

- 647 17. Heron J, Barker ED, Joinson C, Lewis G, Hickman M, Munafò M, et al. Childhood conduct
- disorder trajectories, prior risk factors and cannabis use at age 16: birth cohort study.

649 Addiction. 2013;108:2129–2138.

- 650 18. Rivenbark JG, Odgers CL, Caspi A, Harrington H, Hogan S, Houts RM, et al. The high societal
- 651 costs of childhood conduct problems: evidence from administrative records up to age 38 in a
- longitudinal birth cohort. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018;59:703–710.
- 653 19. Odgers CL, Moffitt TE, Broadbent JM, Dickson N, Hancox RJ, Harrington H, et al. Female and
- 654 male antisocial trajectories: From childhood origins to adult outcomes. Dev Psychopathol.

655 2008;20:673–716.

- 656 20. Whipp AM, Korhonen T, Raevuori A, Heikkilä K, Pulkkinen L, Rose RJ, et al. Early adolescent
- 657 aggression predicts antisocial personality disorder in young adults: a population-based study.

- Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019;28:341–350.
- 659 21. Hawley PH, Little TD, Rodkin PC. Aggression and adaptaion: The bright side to bad behavior.

1st ed. New York: Routledge; 2007.

- 661 22. Hawley PH. Social Dominance in Childhood and Adolescence: Why Social Competence and
- 662 Aggression May Go Hand in Hand. In: Hawley PH, Little TD, Rodkin PC, editors. Aggress. Adapt.

663 Bright Side to Bad Behav., . 1st ed.New York: Routledge; 2007. p. 1–22.

- 664 23. Waltes R, Chiocchetti AG, Freitag CM. The neurobiological basis of human aggression: A
- review on genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet.
 2016;171:650–675.
- 667 24. Turley P, Walters RK, Maghzian O, Okbay A, Lee JJ, Fontana MA, et al. Multi-trait analysis of

668 genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. Nat Genet. 2018;50:229–237.

- 669 25. Baselmans BML, Jansen R, Ip HF, van Dongen J, Abdellaoui A, van de Weijer MP, et al.
- 670 Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-being spectrum. Nat Genet. 2019;51:445–451.
- 671 26. van Beijsterveldt CEM, Bartels M, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI. Causes of Stability of Aggression
- 672 from Early Childhood to Adolescence: A Longitudinal Genetic Analysis in Dutch Twins. Behav
 673 Genet. 2003;33:591–605.
- 674 27. Porsch RM, Middeldorp CM, Cherny SS, Krapohl E, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Loukola A, et al.
- 675 Longitudinal heritability of childhood aggression. Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr
- 676 Genet. 2016;171:697–707.
- Lubke GH, McArtor DB, Boomsma DI, Bartels M. Genetic and environmental contributions to
 the development of childhood aggression. Dev Psychol. 2018;54:39–50.
- Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh P-R, et al. An atlas of genetic
 correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet. 2015;47:1236–1241.
- 30. Martin AR, Daly MJ, Robinson EB, Hyman SE, Neale BM. Predicting Polygenic Risk of
 Psychiatric Disorders. Biol Psychiatry. 2019;86:97–109.
- 683 31. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Schizophrenia Working Group of the

- 684 Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from
- 685 polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2015;47:291–295.
- 686 32. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of
- 687 GWAS Data. PLOS Comput Biol. 2015;11:e1004219.
- 688 33. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK:
- rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience. 2015;4:7.
- 690 34. Rogers P, Stoner J. Modification of the Sandwich Estimator in Generalized Estimating
- 691 Equations with Correlated Binary Outcomes in Rare Event and Small Sample Settings. Am J
- 692 Appl Math Stat. 2015;3:243–251.
- 693 35. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set
- 694 for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet.
 695 2007;81:559–575.
- 36. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait
 analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011;88:76–82.
- 698 37. Grotzinger AD, Rhemtulla M, de Vlaming R, Ritchie SJ, Mallard TT, Hill WD, et al. Genomic
- 699 structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of
- 700 complex traits. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3:513–525.
- 701 38. Rautiainen M-R, Paunio T, Repo-Tiihonen E, Virkkunen M, Ollila HM, Sulkava S, et al. Genome-
- wide association study of antisocial personality disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2016;6:e883–e883.
- 703 39. Tielbeek JJ, Johansson A, Polderman TJC, Rautiainen M-R, Jansen P, Taylor M, et al. Genome-
- 704 Wide Association Studies of a Broad Spectrum of Antisocial Behavior. JAMA Psychiatry.
- 705 2017;74:1242.
- 706 40. Hagenbeek FA, van Dongen J, Kluft C, Hankemeier T, Ligthart L, Willemsen G, et al. Adult
- 707 aggressive behavior in humans and biomarkers: a focus on lipids and methylation. J Pediatr
 708 Neonatal Individ Med. 2018;7:e070204.
- 709 41. Raine A, Fung ALC, Portnoy J, Choy O, Spring VL. Low heart rate as a risk factor for child and

710 adole	scent proactive aggressive and	l impulsive psychopathic	behavior. Aggress Behav.
-----------	--------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

- 711 2014;40:290–299.
- 712 42. Latvala A, Kuja-Halkola R, Rück C, D'Onofrio BM, Jernberg T, Almqvist C, et al. Association of
- 713 Resting Heart Rate and Blood Pressure in Late Adolescence With Subsequent Mental
- 714 Disorders. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016;73:1268.
- 715 43. Brain PF, Susman EJ. Hormonal aspects of aggression and violence. Handb. antisocial Behav.,
- 716 Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 1997. p. 314–323.
- 717 44. Ramirez JM. Hormones and aggression in childhood and adolescence. Aggress Violent Behav.
 718 2003;8:621–644.
- 719 45. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource

with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature. 2018;562:203–209.

46. Harris JA, Vernon PA, Boomsma DI. The Heritability of Testosterone: A Study of Dutch

Adolescent Twins and Their Parents. Behav Genet. 1998;28:165–171.

- 47. Hudziak JJ, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Bartels M, Rietveld MJH, Rettew DC, Derks EM, et al.
- 724 Individual Differences in Aggression: Genetic Analyses by Age, Gender, and Informant in 3-, 7-,
- 725 and 10-Year-Old Dutch Twins. Behav Genet. 2003;33:575–589.
- 726 48. Visscher PM, Wray NR, Zhang Q, Sklar P, McCarthy MI, Brown MA, et al. 10 years of GWAS
- 727 discovery: biology, function, and translation. Am J Hum Genet. 2017;101:5–22.
- Pasaniuc B, Price AL. Dissecting the genetics of complex traits using summary association
 statistics. Nat Rev Genet. 2017;18:117–127.
- 730 50. Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, Umićević Mirkov M, de Leeuw C, Polderman TJC, et al. A
- 731 global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. Nat Genet. 2019:1-
- 732

733

734

10.

735 Figures

736

737	Figure 1. Manhattan	plot of overall met	a-analysis for childhood	l aggression (AGG _{overall}). Red tria	ingles
		-	,	00 \	over un y	

- represent SNPs that were included in the significant genes from the gene-based analysis. SNPs for
- 739 *ST3GAL3* and *IPO13* are included in the same locus on chromosome 1.

740

- 741 **Figure 2A.** Proportion of explained variance (vertical axis) in childhood aggression at age 7 by
- 742 polygenic scores from the overall GWAMA for multiple *P*-value thresholds (horizontal axis). Asterisks
- indicate scores with a significant beta after FDR correction for multiple testing at α =0.05 for 16 tests.

744

- 745 Figure 2B. Proportion of explained variance (vertical axis) in retrospective adolescent CD (two sided
- tests). Blue bars indicate positive correlation with the conduct disorder score.

747

- 748 Figure 3. Genetic correlation with external phenotypes. Phenotypes are ordered by domain. Bars
- 749 indicate 95% confidence intervals.

750

752 <u>Table</u>

- **Table 1.** (a) multivariate test statistic in the meta-analysis of results based on overlapping samples.
- (b) expected value for the cross-trait-intercept. (c) Effective sample size for a GWAMA.

Z _{multi,j}	(a)
$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{P} w_{ji} Z_{ji}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{P} w_{ji} V_{ji} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \sqrt{w_{ji} w_{jk}} CTI_{ik} \text{ for } i \neq k}}$	Multivariate test-statistic for <i>j</i> -th SNP. <i>P</i> is the number of GWASs across which we run the meta-analysis; $w_{ji} = \sqrt{N_{ji}h_{SNP,i}^2}$ is the weight given to the <i>j</i> th SNP in GWAS <i>i</i> , with $h_{SNP,i}^2$ being the SNP-heritability of the trait analyzed in GWAS <i>i</i> ; and $V_{ji} = 1$ represents the variance of the distribution of Z_{ji} under the null hypothesis of no effect.
$CTI_{ik} = \frac{N_s r_p}{\sqrt{N_{ji} N_{jk}}}$	(b) Cross-trait-intercept between GWAS i and k . N_s represents the sample overlap; r_p indicates the phenotypic correlation; N_{ji} and N_{jk} are the sample sizes at SNP j for respectively GWASs i and k
$N_{eff} = \sqrt{N}^T C T I^{-1} \sqrt{N}$	(c) N is an P -sized vector of sample sizes, and CTI is the $P \ge P$ matrix of cross-trait- intercepts.



Chromosome

 $-\log_{10}(P)$





Polygenic risk scores

% variance explained conduct disorder







ST3GAL3

Plotted SNPs





IPO13









