



Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2014 July ; 44(9): 1937–1945. doi:10.1017/S0033291713002651.

Childhood maltreatment, juvenile disorders and adult posttraumatic stress disorder: A prospective investigation

Naomi Breslau, Ph.D.^{1,*}, Karestan C. Koenen, Ph.D.², Zhehui Luo, Ph.D.¹, Jessica Agnew-Blais, M.A.³, Sonja Swanson, M.A.³, Renate M. Houts, Ph.D.⁴, Richie Poulton, Ph.D.⁵, and Terrie E. Moffitt, Ph.D.⁴

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Human Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA ²Department of Epidemiology, Columbia Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA ³Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA ⁴Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA ⁵Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health & Development Research Unit, Department of Preventive & Social Medicine, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract

Background—We examine prospectively the influence of two separate but potentially interrelated factors in the etiology of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): childhood maltreatment as conferring a susceptibility to the PTSD-response to adult trauma and juvenile disorders as precursors of adult PTSD.

Method—The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study is a birth cohort (n=1037) from the general population of New Zealand's South Island, with multiple assessments up to age 38. DSM-IV PTSD was assessed among participants exposed to trauma at ages 26–38. Complete data were available on 928 participants.

Results—Severe maltreatment in the first decade of life, experienced by 8.5% of the sample, was associated significantly with the risk of PTSD among those exposed to adult trauma (odds ratio, (OR)=2.64, 95% CI: 1.16, 6.01), compared to no maltreatment. Moderate maltreatment, experienced by 27.2 %, was not associated significantly with that risk (OR=1.55, 95% CI: 0.85, 2.85). However, the two estimates did not differ significantly from one another. Juvenile disorders (ages 11–15), experienced by 35% of the sample, independent of childhood maltreatment, was associated significantly with the risk of PTSD-response to adult trauma (OR=2.35, 95% CI: 1.32, 4.18).

Conclusions—Severe maltreatment was associated with risk of PTSD-response to adult trauma, compared to no maltreatment, and juvenile disorders, independent of earlier maltreatment, was associated with that risk. The role of moderate maltreatment remained unresolved. Larger

*Address for correspondence: Naomi Breslau, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Human Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. (breslau@msu.edu).

None of the authors has a conflict of interest.

longitudinal studies are needed to assess the impact of moderate maltreatment, experienced by the majority of adult trauma victims with history of maltreatment.

Introduction

Epidemiologic studies have documented that the vast majority of community residents have experienced traumatic events. Only a small minority of victims have developed PTSD (Breslau 1991; Kessler et al. 1995; Breslau et al. 1998; Bowman & Yehuda, 2004; Kessler et al. 2005; Breslau et al. 2004). These observations have highlighted the importance of predispositions: victims vary in their susceptibility to the PTSD-response to traumatic experiences. History of preexisting disorders is among the most consistently documented predisposing risk factors of PTSD (Bromet et al. 1998; Brewin et al. 2000; Breslau, 2002; Ozer et al. 2003; Bowman & Yehuda, 2004; Koenen et al. 2007). Epidemiologic surveys also have documented that a considerable proportion of adults who experienced any trauma have experienced multiple traumas, and that adults with PTSD report elevated rates of prior traumas, especially during childhood (Resnick et al. 1995; Breslau et al. 1999; Galea et al. 2002; Berntsen et al. 2012), compared with adult trauma victims who have not succumbed to PTSD. The finding has been interpreted as supporting a “sensitization” process, that is, early stressors producing greater responsiveness to subsequent stressors. A critical limitation in this research is that it is based primarily on retrospective accounts by adult victims of trauma. Such retrospective reports could be biased by current PTSD or distress symptoms.

Biological models of “sensitization” that connect childhood trauma, specifically, childhood maltreatment, and adult PTSD have been proposed. Childhood maltreatment has been hypothesized to “re-calibrate stress response systems to facilitate altered responses to subsequent exposure that result in risk for adult PTSD” (Yehuda et al. 2010, pp. 406). Related biological models, drawing on data from animal models and human correlational studies, have suggested that child abuse during “a critical period during which brain exposure to corticosterone affects learning,” when combined with genetic risk, “may lead to an amygdala-dependent emotional circuit, which is altered and always primed for stress responsiveness”, leaving such persons at higher risk for stress-related psychopathology, such as PTSD or depression (Gillespie et al. 2009, pp. 989). Although data from animal models are suggestive, human studies lack both the prospective tests and biological data needed to support the proposed sensitization models.

Epidemiological studies reported associations between childhood maltreatment and PTSD, as well as other common psychiatric disorders (Bremner et al. 1993; McLaughlin et al. 2010). These studies, by and large, relied on cross-sectional design and retrospective accounts of maltreatment by adults. There are two exceptions. One study used prospectively ascertained records of child protection involvement as a proxy for maltreatment in a New Zealand population survey, and reported a significant effect of childhood maltreatment on adult PTSD (Scott et al. 2010). Another study used prospectively documented child abuse cases and reported that the association of abuse with lifetime PTSD was not significant, after it was adjusted for family problems (e.g. parental drug disorder, arrest), childhood behavior problems, and alcohol and drug disorders. Only the adjusted effect on *PTSD symptoms* was significant (Widom, 1999). However, PTSD symptoms, in themselves, are diagnostically

ambiguous and do not signify a distinct disorder; they characterize other anxiety disorders and depression and are used in the definition of these disorders. They also are common symptoms of non-specific distress (Breslau et al. 2002; North et al. 2009). What renders the list of non-specific symptoms into a DSM disorder is the specified configuration of symptoms and their connection with the traumatic event.

Concurrently, studies in developmental psychopathology have demonstrated the essential role of childhood disorders for adult disorders (Kim-Cohen et al. 2003). Koenen et al. (2008) traced the development of other mental disorders in adults with PTSD, using 30-year data from the Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study (DMHDS) (childhood maltreatment was not examined). Nearly all the young adults with current and lifetime PTSD had met criteria for another mental disorder between the ages of 11 and 21; most disorders had onsets before age 15. In view of these findings, any theory that connects childhood maltreatment to adult PTSD needs to take into account the role of juvenile disorders in the etiology of adult PTSD.

In this study, again using the DMHDS, we attempt to address two issues related to early antecedents of adult PTSD. 1) Does childhood maltreatment in the first decade of life predict PTSD following traumatic events occurring in adulthood? 2) Does history of juvenile disorder, independent of early childhood maltreatment, predict PTSD following exposure to trauma in adulthood? Koenen et al. (2008), summarized above, did not evaluate the role of early childhood maltreatment in the relationship between juvenile disorders (ages 11 to 15) and adult PTSD. The absence of such an evaluation, leaves the door open to the argument that the effects of juvenile disorders on the risk of adult PTSD might have been due, in large part, to earlier traumatic experiences, chiefly maltreatment. In this study, we examine this possibility.

Methods

Participants were members of the DMHDS, a longitudinal investigation of the health and behavior of a complete cohort of children born during 1972–73 in Dunedin, New Zealand. Perinatal data were obtained at delivery. When the children were later traced for follow-up at age 31, 1037 individuals (91% of eligible births) participated in the assessment, forming the base sample for the longitudinal study. Cohort members represent the full range of socio-economic status in the general population of New Zealand's South Island and are primarily white.

The Dunedin sample was assessed at ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, 21, 26, 32 and 38 years, when 964 participants (96% of living cohort members) participated. Analysis reported here is on 928 participants with complete data on all relevant variables. The research procedure involved bringing 4 study members per day (including emigrants living overseas) to the research unit for a full 8-hour day of tightly scheduled individual interviews and tests. Each research topic is presented, in private, as a standardized module by a different trained examiner in counterbalanced order throughout the day. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical review boards of the participating universities. After a complete description of the study, written informed consent was obtained.

Measurement of key variables

Childhood maltreatment—in the first decade of life was based on assessment of (1) staff-observed maternal rejection at age 3 years; (2) parent-reported harsh discipline at ages 7 and 9 years; parents scoring in the top decile of the distribution were classified as unusually harsh; (3) 2 or more changes in the child's primary caregiver up to age 11; (4) exposure to physical abuse prior to age 11, retrospectively reported by study members at age 26 assessment; and (5) exposure to sexual abuse prior to age 11, retrospectively reported by study members at age 26 assessment. The measurement of maltreatment was described previously in Caspi et al. (2002). A cumulative exposure score was calculated for each child by counting the number of maltreatment indicators (from 0 to 5) and children were classified on an ordinal scale: no maltreatment (zero indicators) (coded 0), moderate maltreatment (one indicator) (coded 1) and severe maltreatment (two to five indicators) (coded 2). In the sample analyzed here (n=928), 64.3% experienced no maltreatment, 27.2% experienced one indicator of maltreatment and 8.5% experienced two to five indicators of maltreatment. This distribution reflects closely the distribution of categories of childhood maltreatment reported for the entire sample (Caspi et al. 2002).

Juvenile psychiatric disorders—were assessed in private standardized interviews, using the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (Costello et al. 1982) at ages 11, 13 and 15. Interviews were conducted by experienced clinicians who had tertiary degrees in social work, medicine, and clinical psychology and were blind to study members' psychiatric history. Modifications, procedures, reliability, validity, prevalence, and evidence of impairment have been described in detail at ages 11 (Anderson et al. 1987), 13 (Frost et al. 1989), and 15 (McGee et al. 1990). Juvenile psychiatric diagnoses using assessments from 11 to 15 years of age include the following four disorder categories: anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, conduct disorders (including oppositional defiant disorder at 11 and 13 years of age), and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). In this study we define juvenile disorders as any disorder vs. no disorder. In the sample analyzed in this study (n=928), 35.0 % had one or more juvenile disorders between 11 and 15 years of age.

Socio-economic status (SES)—Children's social class origins (i.e., their parents' social class) was measured on a scale that places occupations into one of six categories (1=professional, 6=unskilled laborer), based on education and income associated with that occupation in data from the New Zealand census (Elley & Irving, 1976). The higher of either parent's occupation was averaged across the multiple childhood assessments up to age 15 and standardized to a score with mean = 0 and standard deviation = 1.

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)—PTSD was assessed using a modified version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV (DIS-IV) (Robins et al. 1995). PTSD was assessed for the first time at age 26, when lifetime history was assessed. The lifetime prevalence of PTSD in the cohort at age 26 years was 9.6% (n = 93; 11.8% for women; 7.6% for men) which is very similar to estimates based on epidemiologic studies of adults in the U.S. (Kessler et al. 1995; Breslau et al. 1998; Roberts et al. 2011). The lifetime PTSD data up to age 26 have been reported previously (Koenen et al. 2007; Koenen et al. 2008) and are not used in this report. To maintain measurement separation between participants'

reports of child physical and sexual abuse, given at age 26, and PTSD risk, we use as outcomes only traumatic events and PTSD occurring after age 26.

At age 32, participants were queried as to whether “since [they] were 26” they had experienced traumatic events. Recall for the years between ages 26 and 32 was enhanced by using the life history calendar method (Belli et al. 2001). Those who endorsed such an experience were then evaluated for PTSD. Participants who had more than one traumatic event since age 26 were asked to designate their worst event (“the one that affected them the most”), get that experience clear in their minds, and answer PTSD diagnostic questions in relation to that experience. At age 38, the same procedure was repeated, covering the interval period between ages 32 and 38. Data on PTSD from the assessment at age 32 were combined with those from the assessment at age 38 to yield cumulative cases of PTSD during the 12-year interval from age 26 to age 38. The types of worst events experienced by participants from age 26 to age 32 were reported previously (Koenen et al. 2008). The same distribution pattern was maintained when events experienced from age 32 to 36 were added. The most frequent ones were unexpected death of a close relative/friend, witnessing/learning about assault/injury to a close friend/relative, assault, life threatening illness, and serious accidents. Participants received a PTSD diagnosis if they met the B, C, D, E, and F criteria for PTSD, according to DSM-IV.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted on the subset with complete data on exposure to traumatic events and PTSD for the period from age 26 to age 38 ($n=928$). Our interest was in PTSD cases resulting from traumatic events experienced during a defined period in adulthood, uncontaminated by the predictors -- childhood maltreatment (ages 3–11 years) and juvenile disorders (ages 11–15 years). We include all PTSD cases among participants who experienced traumatic events during the 12-year period from age 26 to 38.

Three sets of logistic regressions were used to test and estimate the effect of childhood maltreatment and juvenile disorders on trauma and PTSD in adulthood. The first model estimates the effect of childhood maltreatment on trauma exposure and, among the trauma exposed, on PTSD. The second model does it for juvenile disorders. The third model estimates the effects of juvenile disorders and maltreatment, simultaneously. It addresses the question as to whether the effect of juvenile disorders on adult PTSD was independent of earlier maltreatment. All models adjust statistically for sex and socio-economic status. We tested the interaction between childhood maltreatment and any juvenile disorder but did not detect one at $\alpha < 0.10$.

In the logistic regressions, we used two dummy indicator variables (1 vs.0 and 2 vs.0) to represent the 3 maltreatment groups: 0 = zero maltreatment indicators; 1 = one maltreatment indicator; 2 = 2–5 maltreatment indicators (Caspi et al. 2002). Given the ordinal nature of measurement, we do not deem it appropriate to impose a linearity assumption and treat maltreatment as a continuous, interval variable. Were we to do so, it would be all too easy to extrapolate findings beyond the support of the data. The use of two indicator variables in regressions, as we have done, does not force any particular metric or distance between levels.

Results

During the 12-year interval period between ages 26 and 38, 338 (36.4%) study members experienced traumatic events. Of the 338 participants exposed to traumatic events, 68 (20.1%) met criteria for PTSD. Table 1 presents percentages of the sample exposed to trauma between ages 26 and 38 and percentages PTSD among those who were exposed, according to sex, SES, history of maltreatment and history of juvenile disorders. Chi-squared tests were used to test the statistical significance of percentage differences across strata of sex, maltreatment and juvenile disorders and t-test was used for SES. Exposure to traumatic events did not differ significantly by sex, SES or history of childhood maltreatment. Exposure to traumatic events was significantly higher among those who had been diagnosed with juvenile disorders than those who had not. Percentages of PTSD following exposure did not differ significantly between sexes. It was higher among those with lower SES. Percentages of PTSD differed significantly across the three maltreatment groups. Further testing showed that the percentage with PTSD was significantly higher among persons who experienced severe maltreatment, but not among persons who experienced moderate maltreatment, relative to persons who experienced no maltreatment ($p=0.005$ and $p=0.113$, respectively). The percentage of PTSD was higher among participants with history of juvenile disorders than those without.

Table 2 presents the results of the three regression models, estimating the influence on trauma exposure and PTSD experienced between ages 26 and 38 of childhood maltreatment (Model 1); juvenile disorders (Model 2); and juvenile disorders adjusted statistically for earlier maltreatment (Model 3).

We describe first the results for PTSD, our core research question. Chi-squared test of the null hypothesis that the effects of moderate and severe maltreatment on PTSD among those exposed to adult trauma are simultaneously equal to zero is 5.90 (2 degrees - of - freedom, $p=0.052$), indicating that the overall effect of maltreatment (at least one of the two categories) is significantly different from zero and that we can proceed to test each category separately.

Results of model 1, Table 2 (second column), show that severe maltreatment, but not moderate maltreatment, predicted significantly PTSD among trauma exposed persons, compared to no maltreatment (odds ratio (OR) associated with severe maltreatment=2.64, 95% CI = 1.16, 6.01; OR associated with moderate maltreatment= 1.55, 95% CI= 0.85, 2.85) . However, to conclude that only severe maltreatment distinctly predicts adult PTSD, it is not enough to find that severe maltreatment differs significantly from no maltreatment, as we do in Model 1, it is also necessary to find that severe maltreatment differs significantly from moderate maltreatment. A test of that difference failed to reject the null of no difference between the two levels of maltreatment on PTSD ($z=1.19$, $p=0.232$). While our findings support the conclusion that severe maltreatment predicted PTSD response to adult trauma (compared to no maltreatment), they leave the question of moderate maltreatment open. Moderate maltreatment was found to be indistinguishable from no maltreatment, but failed to be distinguished from severe maltreatment.

Results of model 2 (second column) show that juvenile disorders predicted a significantly increased risk of PTSD response to adult trauma. Model 3, designed to evaluate the independent effect of juvenile disorders from earlier maltreatment, included *both* childhood maltreatment during the first decade of life and juvenile disorders between ages 11 and 15. The results show that juvenile disorders, independent of earlier maltreatment, predicted significantly the risk of PTSD response to adult trauma (OR=2.35, 95% CI =1.32, 4.18). (Note that model 3 does not inform about the maltreatment-PTSD relationship, because history of juvenile disorder at age 11 to 15 is theoretically and temporally on the pathway between maltreatment during the first decade of life and adult PTSD.)

Results on exposure to adult trauma are presented in the first column of Table 2. They show that juvenile disorders predicted risk of exposure to traumatic events. Although significant, the estimate was low (OR= 1.43, 95% CI=1.08, 1.91). Childhood maltreatment bore no significant relationship with exposure to trauma in adulthood.

Discussion

"Sensitization" to the PTSD response to stress: previous research

The concept of "sensitization" was initially proposed in the context of the "kindling" effect in recurrent depressive episodes in relation to stressful experiences. Post (1992) proposed that depressive episodes cause neurological changes that render patients susceptible to develop subsequent episodes in response to lesser stress, compared to the stress that precipitated the first episode. This model was extended from depressive episodes to stressful life events as cause that alters biologically the psychiatric response to subsequent stressors, including the PTSD response to trauma (Post & Weiss, 1998). Current "sensitization" models, which concern themselves exclusively with early childhood stressors, especially child abuse, represent a shift from the initial general models, in which age at the prior "sensitizing" experiences was unspecified. As we studied maltreatment in childhood, it is worth mentioning results on prior trauma reported by Ozer et al. (2003) from a comprehensive meta analysis of risk factors for PTSD. The authors found a statistically significant but small average effect size ($r=.17$) for history of prior trauma. The subgroup of studies with *prior trauma occurring in childhood* (13 of the 23 studies included in the analysis) had an average effect size identical to the overall analysis. The authors concluded that "in general, prior childhood trauma imparts no greater risk than prior adult trauma" (Ozer et al. 2003, pp. 57).

Theoretical models of the "sensitizing" effect of child abuse (or childhood maltreatment) on the PTSD response to adult trauma have not been substantiated by longitudinal data. A causal link and a biological mechanism have not been empirically established. The two prospective studies of childhood maltreatment and adult PTSD came to disparate conclusions (Widom, 1999; Scott et al. 2010). The first reported no significant effect on PTSD (Widom, 1999) and the second reported a statistically significant effect (Scott et al. 2010).

Summary of key findings

Using prospective data from a longitudinal birth cohort study, we evaluated the effect of childhood maltreatment on the PTSD-response to traumatic events occurring during a period of 12 years in adulthood. We found support for that effect among adult trauma victims with history of *severe* maltreatment, but failed to find unequivocally that moderate maltreatment had an effect on adult PTSD. Juvenile disorders, any disorder vs. none, between ages 11 and 15, independent of childhood maltreatment in the first decade of life, increased significantly the risk of PTSD in response to trauma during the same time period.

In this study, the "sensitizing" effect of childhood maltreatment on the PTSD response to trauma in adulthood was statistically significant only for the high end of the distribution of childhood maltreatment--the top 24% with two or more indicators of maltreatment (79 of the total 331 who were maltreated in childhood). Less severe maltreatment, which characterized the majority of adults who were maltreated as children, was not statistically distinguishable from no childhood maltreatment. Although the effects of moderate and severe maltreatment on PTSD-response to adult trauma were not statistically significant in our study, we do not recommend combining the two categories into one, because it masks the distinct effect of severe maltreatment observed in this study. Previous reports from the Dunedin study also have found evidence of associations with some adult physical outcomes only for severe maltreatment (e.g. Danese et al. 2007, Table 2).

A comparison between our study and the two previous prospective studies (Widom, 1999; Scott et al. 2010) on the maltreatment-PTSD relationship is hampered by substantial differences in the measurement of childhood maltreatment. The New Zealand study by Scott et al. (2010) used agency records of child protective services as a proxy for childhood maltreatment (yes/no), and Widom et al. (1999) used court records of child abuse. The former reported a significant effect, whereas the latter failed to find a significant effect. The question of severity of maltreatment was not addressed in either. Further, both studies reported *lifetime* PTSD, irrespective of the temporal order between childhood maltreatment and the trauma that caused PTSD. Our study has an important methodological advantage in that there is clear temporal order among the key variables, childhood maltreatment and juvenile disorders – the predictors -- and adult trauma and PTSD.

Juvenile disorders predicted an increased risk of PTSD-response to trauma, a finding consistent with previous studies and meta analyses on history of preexisting disorders as predisposition for PTSD (Ozer et al. 2003; Breslau et al. 2013) and the few prospective studies on this question. Breslau et al. (2006) and Storr et al. (2007) reported that anxiety disorders and emotional problems at the start of schooling increased the PTSD risk in late adolescence and early adulthood. Koenen et al. (2007) identified early externalizing behavior and difficult temperament as risks of adult PTSD. The relationship between preexisting psychiatric disorders, especially those with juvenile onset, and adult PTSD in the Dunedin longitudinal cohort was demonstrated in a previous report (Koenen et al. 2008). None of these studies evaluated the influence of juvenile disorders simultaneously with childhood maltreatment. In the absence of such an evaluation, the possibility that the essential role of juvenile disorders in adult PTSD was largely due to early maltreatment

could not be ruled out. Our analysis, which simultaneously considers childhood maltreatment in the first decade of life and juvenile disorders during the subsequent 5 years, rules out that possibility. We show that juvenile disorders, independent of childhood maltreatment, increase the PTSD risk in adulthood.

Limitations

Several limitations should be noted. 1) Information on child physical and sexual abuse, two of the five indicators of childhood maltreatment, was gathered from the participants retrospectively when they were 26 years of age. Although our analysis examined PTSD in relation to events occurring subsequently, from age 26 to age 38 years, the retrospective reports by adults of child physical and sexual abuse might cast suspicion that these indicators might be influenced by adult perspectives and experiences tied to the subsequent reporting of trauma and PTSD.

2) The measurement of childhood maltreatment combines heterogeneous indicators. One of the 3 indicators assessed before age 11-- 2 or more changes in primary care givers -- is not always an appropriate proxy of childhood maltreatment. Its inclusion as an indicator of maltreatment broadens the concept to signify adverse childhood rearing environment with wide ranging consequences for development. (See references in Caspi et al. 2002 Supporting Online Materials). On the other hand, the measure does not include child neglect, an aspect of maltreatment as defined in the current literature.

3) The Dunedin cohort study is one of few longitudinal studies that has provided detailed information on developmental psychopathology from birth to adulthood. However, the size of the cohort, although relatively large for an intensive longitudinal assessment, nonetheless, limits the questions that can be interrogated. First, sample size precludes an unequivocal answer concerning the status of moderate maltreatment in predicting adult PTSD, as we suggested above. Second, sample size rules out an inquiry of PTSD by number of traumas and trauma type. It might be the case that participants with history of juvenile disorders experienced more severe traumas involving personal violence, which might in part explain their higher risk of PTSD. Third, it rules out an investigation of the role of specific juvenile disorders in the PTSD-response to adult trauma. Fourth, the limited sample size also precludes attempts to disentangle the specific effect of childhood maltreatment from the context of family pathology (E.g., parental conflict, domestic violence, parental mental illness) in which it occurs.

Fifth, it is likely that some common causes of maltreatment or juvenile disorders, on one hand, and exposure to trauma or PTSD, on the other, are omitted in this study. A strategy to examine the robustness of our findings would be to carry out a sensitivity analysis along the line of Rosenbaum and Rubin (1983). However, since the number of persons exposed to trauma in the severe maltreatment group is only 33, and there is limited information at baseline to allow us to find good matches for them, it is unlikely that this would be a strong strategy here. Although we are interested in causal inferences, the interpretation of the findings should proceed cautiously, given the possibility of lack of complete control of confounders.

A fine grained analysis must wait for data from larger birth cohorts followed up as intensively as our cohort has been. The contribution of this study is the simultaneous analysis of maltreatment and juvenile disorders assessed in a clear temporal order between them and in relation to adult traumatic events and PTSD.

The generalizability of the findings is limited by the geographic boundaries of the sample and, more importantly, by the age range of the adults during the years in which information on exposure to traumatic events and PTSD were analyzed. The time period between ages 26 and 38 fulfilled our primary methodological goal of clearly separating the childhood predictors from the hypothesized adult outcomes. It is thus an unbiased sample of time, uncontaminated by the hypothesized childhood etiological events and the reporting of such events. The inquiry of traumatic events and PTSD up to age 26 years was collected retrospectively and thus was not used in the analysis. Future research would be illuminating if it covered that age range as well.

Conclusions

Numerous studies have reported that history of childhood maltreatment increases risk of PTSD-response to adult trauma. We found clear support only for severe maltreatment, experienced by a minority of adults who had suffered childhood maltreatment. Larger longitudinal studies are needed to resolve the status of moderate maltreatment as a risk factor for adult PTSD, which in this study was equivocal. Additionally, our study confirmed that juvenile disorders, independent of childhood maltreatment, are important predispositions for PTSD-response to adult trauma.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgements and funding sources:

We thank the Dunedin Study members, Unit research staff, and Study founder Phil Silva. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit is supported by the New Zealand Health Research Council. This research received support from NIMH Psychiatric Epidemiology Training Grant T32MH017119 (JAB, SS); NIMH R01 MH093612, (KCK); UK Medical Research Council grant G0601483 (TEM), US-National Institute of Aging grant AG032282 (TEM). TEM had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

- Anderson JC, Williams S, McGee R, Silva PA. DSM-III disorders in preadolescent children. Prevalence in a large sample from the general population. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1987; 44(1):69–76. [PubMed: 2432848]
- Belli RF, Shay WL, Stafford FP. Event History Calendars and Question List Surveys: A Direct Comparison of Interviewing Methods. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2001; 65(1):45–74. [PubMed: 11264054]
- Berntsen D, Johannessen KB, Thomsen YD, Bertelsen M, Hoyle RH, Rubin DC. Peace and war: trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms before, during, and after military deployment in Afghanistan. *Psychological Science* 1. 2012; 23(12):1557–1565. Epub 2012 Nov 5.
- Bowman, ML.; Yehuda, R. Risk factors and the adversity stress model. In: Rosen, RM., editor. *Posttraumatic stress disorders: Issues and controversies*. West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2004.

- Bremner JD, Southwick SM, Johnson DR, Yehuda R, Charney DS. Childhood physical abuse and combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1993; 150(2):235–239. [PubMed: 8422073]
- Breslau N. Epidemiologic studies of trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and other psychiatric disorders. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002; 47(10):923–929.
- Breslau N, Chase GA, Anthony JC. The uniqueness of the DSM definition of post-traumatic stress disorder: Implications for research. *Psychological Medicine*. 2002; 32(4):573–576. [PubMed: 12102371]
- Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Kessler RC, Davis GC. Previous exposure to trauma and PTSD effects of subsequent trauma: Results from the Detroit area survey of trauma. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999; 156(6):902–907. [PubMed: 10360130]
- Breslau N, Davis GC, Andreski P, Peterson E. Traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder in an urban population of young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1991; 48(3):216–222. [PubMed: 1996917]
- Breslau N, Davis GC, Peterson EL, Schultz LR. A second look at comorbidity in victims of trauma: the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder – Major Depression connection. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2000; 48:902–909. [PubMed: 11074228]
- Breslau N, Kessler R, Chilcoat HD, Schultz LR, Davis GC, Andreski P. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: The 1996 Detroit area survey of trauma. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1998; 55(7):626–632. [PubMed: 9672053]
- Breslau N, Lucia VC, Alvarado GF. Intelligence and other predisposing factors in exposure to trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2006; 63(11):1238–1245. [PubMed: 17088504]
- Breslau N, Peterson EL, Schultz LR. A second look at prior trauma and the posttraumatic stress disorder effects of subsequent trauma: a prospective epidemiological study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2008; 65(4):431–437. [PubMed: 18391131]
- Breslau N, Troost JP, Bohnert K, Luo Z. Influence of predispositions on posttraumatic stress disorder: does it vary by trauma severity? *Psychological Medicine*. 2013; 41:381–390. [PubMed: 22703614]
- Breslau N, Wilcox HC, Storr CL, Lucia VC, Anthony JC. Trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder: a study of youth in urban America. *Journal of Urban Health-Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*. 2004; 81(4):530–544. [PubMed: 15466836]
- Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD. Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2000; 68(5):317–336.
- Bromet E, Sonnega A, Kessler RC. Risk factors for DSM-III-R posttraumatic stress disorder: Findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1998; 147(4):353–361. [PubMed: 9508102]
- Caspi A, McClay J, Moffitt TE, Mill J, Martin J, Craig IW, Taylor A, Poulton R. Role of genotype in the cycle of violence in maltreated children. Supporting online material www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/297/5582/851/DC1. *Science*. 2002; 297(5582):851–854. [PubMed: 12161658]
- Costello, A.; Edelbrock, C.; Kalas, R.; Kessler, M.; Klaric, SA. The National Institute of Mental Health diagnostic interview schedule for children (DISC). Rockville, MD: NIMH; 1982.
- Danese A, Pariante CM, Caspi A, Taylor A, Poulton R. Childhood maltreatment predicts adult inflammation in a life-course study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America*. 2007; 104(4):1319–1324.
- Elley WB, Irving JC. Revised socio-economic index for New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*. 1976; 11:25–56.
- Frost LA, Moffitt TE, McGee R. Neuropsychological correlates of psychopathology in an unselected cohort of young adolescents. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1989; 98(3):307–313. [PubMed: 2768666]
- Galea S, Ahem J, Resnick H, Kilpatrick D, Bucuvalas M, Gold J, Vlahov D. Psychological sequelae of the September 11 terrorist attacks in New York City. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2002; 346(13):982–987. [PubMed: 11919308]

- Gillespie CF, Phifer J, Bradley B, Ressler KJ. Risk and resilience: genetic and environmental influences on development of the stress response. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2009; 26(11):984–992. [PubMed: 19750552]
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2005; 62(6):593–602. [PubMed: 15939837]
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1995; 52(12):1048–1060. [PubMed: 7492257]
- Kim-Cohen J, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Harrington H, Milne BJ, Poulton R. Prior juvenile diagnoses in adults with mental disorder: Developmental follow-back of a prospective-longitudinal cohort. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2003; 60(7):709–717. [PubMed: 12860775]
- Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Gregory A, Harrington H, Poulton R. The developmental mental-disorder histories of adults with posttraumatic stress disorder: a prospective longitudinal birth cohort study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2008; 117(2):460–466. [PubMed: 18489223]
- Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Poulton R, Martin J, Caspi A. Early childhood factors associated with the development of post-traumatic stress disorder: results from a longitudinal birth cohort. *Psychological Medicine*. 2007; 37(2):181–192. [PubMed: 17052377]
- McGee R, Feehan M, Williams S, Partridge F, Silva PA, Kelly J. DSM-III disorders in a large sample of adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1990; 29(4):611–619. [PubMed: 2387797]
- McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC. Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication II. *Archives General Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(2):124–132.
- North CS, Suris AM, Davis M, Smith RP. Toward validation of the diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2009; 166(1):34–41. [PubMed: 19047323]
- Ozer EJ, Best SR, Lipsey TL, Weiss DS. Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2003; 129(1):52–73. [PubMed: 12555794]
- Post RM. Transduction of psychosocial stress into the neurobiology of recurrent affective disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1992; 149(8):999–1010. [PubMed: 1353322]
- Post RM, Weiss SR. Sensitization and kindling phenomena in mood, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive disorder: The role of serotonergic mechanisms in illness progression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1998; 44(3):193–206. [PubMed: 9693391]
- Resnick HS, Yehuda R, Pitman RK, Foy DW. Effect of previous trauma on acute plasma cortisol level following rape. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1995; 152(11):1675–1677. [PubMed: 7485635]
- Roberts AL, Gilman SE, Breslau J, Breslau N, Koenen KC. Race/ethnic differences in exposure to traumatic events, development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and treatment-seeking for post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States. *Psychological Medicine*. 2011; 41(1):71–83. [PubMed: 20346193]
- Robins, LN.; Cottler, L.; Bucholz, K.; Compton, W. *Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV*. St. Louis, Mo: Washington University Press; 1995.
- Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika*. 1983; 70(1):41–55.
- Scott KM, Smith DR, Ellis PM. Prospectively ascertained child maltreatment and its association with DSM-IV mental disorders in young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(7):712–719. [PubMed: 20603452]
- Storr CL, Ialongo NS, Anthony JC, Breslau N. Childhood antecedents of exposure to traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2007; 164(1):119–125. [PubMed: 17202553]
- Widom CS. Posttraumatic stress disorder in abused and neglected children grown up. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999; 156(8):1223–1229. [PubMed: 10450264]
- Yehuda R, Flory JD, Pratchett LC, Buxbaum J, Ising M, Holsboer F. Putative biological mechanisms for the association between early life adversity and the subsequent development of PTSD. *Psychopharmacology*. 2010; 212(3):405–417. [PubMed: 20706708]

Table 1

Descriptive data on exposure to trauma and conditional probability of PTSD from age 26 to age 38 (N = 928)

	Exposure to Trauma		% with PTSD given Exposure	
	n	(%) or M(SD)	n	(%) or M(SD)
Female (456)	180	(39.47)	40	(22.22)
Male (472)	158	(33.47)	28	(17.72)
Childhood socio-economic status	338	0.03 (0.98)	68	-0.25 (0.96)*
Moderate maltreatment (1 indicator), Ages 3–11 (252)	98	(38.89)	23	(23.47)
Severe maltreatment (2+ indicators), Ages 3–11 (79)	33	(41.77)	12	(36.36)*
No Maltreatment, Ages 3–11 (597)	207	(34.67)	33	(15.94)
Juvenile Disorder, Ages 11–15 (325)	136	(41.85)*	41	(30.15)**
No Juvenile Disorder, Ages 11–15 (603)	202	(33.50)	27	(13.37)

*
p < 0.05;**
p < 0.005

Table 2

Results from Logistic Regressions of Trauma Exposure and PTSD from Age 26 to 38 Predicted by Childhood Maltreatment (ages 3–11) and Juvenile Disorders (Ages 11–15) (N = 928)

	Trauma vs. No Trauma (N=928)		Trauma with PTSD vs. Trauma without PTSD (N=338)	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
	Model 1			
Moderate maltreatment, ages 3–11	1.22	(0.90, 1.66)	1.55	(0.85, 2.85)
Severe maltreatment, ages 3–11	1.34	(0.83, 2.18)	2.64	(1.16, 6.01)
	Model 2			
Juvenile Disorders, ages 11–15	1.47	(1.11, 1.95)	2.51	(1.42, 4.41)
	Model 3			
Moderate maltreatment, ages 3–11	1.17	(0.86, 1.60)	1.38	(0.74, 2.57)
Severe maltreatment, ages 3–11	1.24	(0.76, 2.02)	2.35	(1.01, 5.46)
Juvenile Disorders, ages 11–15	1.43	(1.08, 1.91)	2.35	(1.32, 4.18)

Moderate maltreatment=1 indicator; Severe maltreatment= 2+ indicators.

OR's with 95% CI's that do not include the null value of 1 are significant at alpha = 0.05 (bolded).

All models are adjusted for sex and SES.