Objective of the study:

Greater cardiovascular reactivity is a well-established predictor of poorer health\(^1\). Cardiovascular reactivity is thought to lead to the progression of cardiovascular diseases and increased risk of cardiovascular-related mortality through atherosclerotic processes over time\(^2\). Termed the cardiovascular reactivity hypothesis\(^2\), this observation has led to the inclusion of cardiovascular reactivity assessments in large longitudinal studies as a potential health-relevant biomarker.

Often the studies of cardiovascular reactivity and associated outcomes are limited to either small studies using intensive measurement or smaller subsets of larger epidemiological studies due to the challenges with collecting cardiovascular reactivity data. Although meta-analytic techniques have supported the basic premises of the cardiovascular reactivity hypothesis\(^1\), there is an opportunity to investigate the association of cardiovascular reactivity with relevant outcomes in larger, more representative longitudinal studies, which could provide better evidence for the cardiovascular reactivity hypothesis.

Two large longitudinal studies of aging across midlife, the Dunedin and Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) Studies, included laboratory paradigms that assessed cardiovascular reactivity using largely the same computerized methodology\(^3\). Despite the availability of cardiovascular reactivity data in these two studies, the association of reactivity with a wide array of potential predictors, correlates, and health outcomes have not been widely tested. The current study proposes to first use data from the Dunedin Study \((n = 937)\) to examine the association of cardiovascular reactivity, assessed using heart rate and blood pressure, with relevant outcomes across the lifespan, then use MIDUS Study data \((n = 1,173)\) to replicate these analyses in order to increase confidence in any observed associations.

Data analysis methods:

**Aim 1:** Investigate the association of cardiovascular reactivity at age 32 with childhood predictors, psychosocial correlates, and health outcomes in independent multiple regression models.

**Aim 2:** Replicate the primary associations between cardiovascular reactivity assessed in the MIDUS 2 Biomarker Assessment and childhood predictors, psychosocial correlates, and health outcomes using MIDUS Study variables that can be matched with Dunedin Study variables.
Secondary Analyses: Characterize the results of Aims 1 and 2 using the variables within the Dunedin and MIDUS datasets in secondary analyses that might contextualize the primary aims.

General analysis methods: All models will be run in MPLUS\textsuperscript{4} using full information maximum likelihood estimation to account for missing data\textsuperscript{5}.

Variables needed at which ages:

- Dunedin Study
  - Cardiovascular reactivity
    - Heart rate
      - During baseline
      - During the Stroop task
      - During the math task
    - Systolic and diastolic blood pressure
      - During baseline
      - During the Stroop task
      - During the math task
  - Task covariates
    - Percent of correct responses to Stroop and math tasks
  - Childhood predictors
    - Childhood IQ
    - Childhood health
    - Childhood ACEs (prospective)
    - Childhood SES
  - Psychosocial correlates
    - Perceived stress scale at age 32
    - Conscientiousness averaged across ages 26, 32, and 38
    - Adult IQ at age 38 (not assessed at age 32)
    - Satisfaction with life scale at age 38 (not assessed at age 32)
  - Health correlates and outcomes
    - Self-rated health at age 38
    - Inflammation
      - Log transformed hsCRP at age 38
    - Facial age at age 38
    - Pace of aging from age 26 to 45
  - Demographic
    - Sex

- MIDUS Study
  - Cardiovascular reactivity
    - Heart rate
      - During baseline
      - During the Stroop task
      - During the math task
    - Systolic and diastolic blood pressure
      - During baseline
      - During the Stroop task
      - During the math task
Task covariates
- Stress appraisals for the Stroop and math tasks
- Retrospective reports of abuse in childhood at MIDUS 2 Biomarker Assessment
- Retrospective reports of childhood SES at MIDUS 2

Psychosocial correlates
- Perceived stress scale at MIDUS 2
- Conscientiousness at MIDUS 2
- Satisfaction with life scale at MIDUS 2
- Adult cognition at MIDUS 2 Cognitive Assessment

Health correlates and outcomes
- Self-rated health at MIDUS 2
- Inflammation
  - Log transformed CRP at MIDUS 2
- Mortality assessed in the MIDUS Mortality dataset
- Date of censoring
- Date of death

Demographic covariates
- Sex
- Age
- Date of MIDUS 2 Biomarker Assessment

Significance of the Study (for theory, research methods or clinical practice):
The cardiovascular reactivity hypothesis is currently a well-supported model for how stress affects health, yet this association has not been tested in large longitudinal datasets, such as the MIDUS and Dunedin Studies, despite the availability of this data. The current study would provide additional empirical evidence relevant to the cardiovascular hypothesis and the use of cardiovascular reactivity laboratory assessments in large longitudinal studies that may be more representative than the typical previous studies of cardiovascular reactivity.
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